

CT2S: QCT-based Strength prediction service

Scientific background

The primary function of the human skeleton is biomechanical in nature (support, protection, etc.); thus, its ability to sustain mechanical loading without substantial damage (hereinafter referred to as *strength*) is a biomarker of primary importance in a large part of related clinical research. Unfortunately, mechanical strength can be measured directly only invasively and only destructively; thus, we can measure the strength of bones only *ex vivo*, from bone dissected from cadavers. For this reason, in most clinical studies that have bone strength (or related indicators such as risk of bone fracture) as an end point, surrogate biomarkers provided by medical imaging modalities are used instead. The most common are those that measure the average Bone Mineral Density (BMD) over a given region, either areal (aBMD, provided by DXA), or volumetric (vBMD, provided by QCT). However, while the BMD is strongly correlated to the bone strength, it is a poor *predictor* of bone strength, typically with accuracies of 75% or lower (Cody et al., 1999; Dragomir-Daescu et al., 2011; Srinivasan et al., 2012).

Since strength is a mechanical property, regulated by well-established physical laws, in principle it should be possible to build computational models based on such laws that accurately predict for each subject the biomechanical strength of each bone in their skeleton. Prof Marco Viceconti has worked to the development of such technique since 1998. He proposed the first CT scan sequence specifically optimised for 3D reconstruction of the femur (Zannoni et al., 1998), its segmentation to extract the bone geometry (Viceconti et al., 1998), the early techniques to derive from the segmentation accurate finite element meshes (Viceconti et al., 1998), and the first implementation of the *Bonemat* algorithm to map the heterogeneous tissue properties as derived from the CT images onto the finite element mesh (Zannoni et al., 1998). He then worked extensively on the use of DXA images to predict bone strength (Testi et al., 1999; Testi et al., 2001; Testi et al., 2001; Testi et al., 2002; Testi et al., 2004), reaching the conclusion that only CT-based models could provide the necessary accuracy (Viceconti et al., 2004). Interestingly, a recent re-visitation of this approach, using state-of-the-art DXA technologies reached similar conclusions (Dall'Ara et al., 2016).

Using human bones dissected fresh from cadavers Prof Viceconti's team developed a robust experimental set-up to validate the predictive accuracy of these subject-specific CT-based computer models (Taddei et al., 2006; Cristofolini et al., 2007). The first validation study published in 2007, reported an error of 40% (Taddei et al., 2006); refining the methods we reached in a few years a predictive accuracy of 95% or better (Schileo et al., 2007; Schileo et al., 2008; Schileo et al., 2008; Juszczak et al., 2011; Grassi et al., 2012; Schileo et al., 2014; Zani et al., 2015) both under stance and side fall loading conditions.

Once validated this method has been used to investigate the biomechanical possibility of spontaneous fractures (Viceconti et al., 2012), the safety factor of the femur during level waking (Taddei et al., 2014), the bending strength of infant femurs (Li et al., 2015; Altai et al., 2018), and the effect of laterality on femoral strength (Taddei et al., 2016). In all these cases the subject-specific CT-based modelling method provided an accurate, robust and reproducible estimate of bone strength from imaging data, which made these physiology research studies possible.

In 2014 we demonstrated that using the method to estimate the minimum femoral strength under physiological (hereinafter called Minimum Stance Strength, or MSS), and pathological (hereinafter called Minimum Fall Strength, or MSF) could accurately predict the risk of femoral neck fracture in a small cohort of osteoporotic women (Falcinelli et al., 2014). In 2016 we confirmed these conclusions on a much larger cohort of 100 women (Qasim et al., 2016); in this retrospective cohort the MSS biomarker was able to correctly identify fractured and non-fractured women only from their CT data, with an accuracy of 79% (Qasim et al., 2016).

Recently, we have further improved the MSS prediction, which now classify the same cohort with an accuracy of 83% (Altai *et al.* 2019). Also, we demonstrated that the MSS biomarker is much more robust

than other clinical biomarkers such as aBMD; when we restrict the analysis to “difficult” patients, those with T-score between -1.0 and -2.5, aBMD accuracy is only 60%, while MSS accuracy remains 75%.

While the MSS biomarker is clearly superior to any other available clinical biomarker in estimating the bone strength of a subject non-invasively, the derivation of this biomarker from CT data require a fairly sophisticated set of proprietary software tools and specifically trained operators, which only our institute can provide. In order to make this biomarker widely available, we are now offering the prediction of MSS from CT data as an on-line service accessible by any clinical research team in the world. This service, denominated “CT to Strength” or CT2S for short, makes possible to return within 48 hours an accurate prediction of the subject strength from a properly calibrated CT dataset that is uploaded to our on-line service. This document gives a brief description of the process.

Data generation

The most important factor in the achievement of high predictive accuracy is the appropriateness of the medical imaging protocol used. In this situation the CT system is not used to generate pictures that the radiologist has to interpret, but as a measurement machine, whose outputs are processed quantitatively. For this reason, the radiological protocol we propose (see annex #1) must be respected rigorously and the first step in our modelling process involves an inspection of the CT data; we reserve the right to reject any case where the CT data were not collected following our recommended radiological protocol, as the results of the model would be unreliable.

At the outset of the study, one of our experts would visit the clinical site where the CT scan will be performed, meet the radiology team (primarily the radiographers) who will be responsible for scanning the patients, and assist the execution of a full scan on the first patient; the resulting protocol should be stored in the memory of the CT system, so as to ensure that all future patients will be scanned using the same protocol. The key elements of this protocol are:

- a) Scan covers the full length of the bone plus 2 cm beyond its proximal and distal ends;
- b) Current and voltage settings are specified and cannot be changed during the scan (exclusion of automatic current modulation); the voltage is set lower than usual to keep the effective radiation dose within acceptable limits;
- c) Pitch, slice thickness and slice spacing are imposed;
- d) At the beginning of the study, the European Spine Phantom (QRM, GmbH) should be CT scanned using the same parameters used in the CT protocol for patients. For long-term studies, the scanning of the European Spine Phantom should be repeated every 12 months, to correct for drifts of the CT system. The images of the phantom should be submitted alongside those of the patients, and will be used to calibrate accurately the mineral content scale of the CT images. Upon request we can perform the first phantom scan during our on-site visit;

We strongly recommend that all scans in a study be performed with the same CT scanner. If this is not possible, then the European Spine Phantom should be scanned on each CT scanner, and for each scan the indication of which CT machine has been used.

Data transmission and handling

The following data transfer procedure is described based on a typical NHS setting at Sheffield. Details may change for the transfer procedure to work on a different site. This needs to be discussed with the team at the outset of the study in order to determine the best data handling strategy.

The clinical team will directly transfer patient DICOM images from the Hospital Trust PACS system to a University of Sheffield hosted XNAT database. This transfer process is facilitated by the Scientific Computing team at the Sheffield Teaching Hospitals using the pix web service and incorporates their own in-house anonymisation step to remove all personal data from the DICOM images. Consequently, all information identifying the patient remains within the Sheffield Teaching Hospitals network, and the

referring clinician assigns an independent, anonymous, patient ID within the CT2S web portal when the analysis is requested. This ID is common to that assigned during transfer of images to the XNAT database.

The medical staff will enter additional metadata (such as age, gender, weight) within the CT2S web portal. When you upload the data we will ask you to sign a declaration confirming that you have all the necessary legal and ethical permissions to share these data for research purposes; upon request, we will provide you with pro-forma templates for informed consent that we recommend to include, but the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that you are authorised to collect and give us those data will remain entirely with you.

The DICOM data you provide and all associated metadata will be destroyed once our analysis is complete and once the results have been transferred to you. However, in projects that are not commercially sponsored, we ask as a condition for the application of the non-commercial discount the permission to retain all derivative data obtained by the processing and modelling of the original CT image, including voxel level information, for research and service improvement purposes.

Reporting procedure

Once CT data of a patient have been properly uploaded, and have passed our quality check, an expert operator will process them in to a patient-specific model that will be used to generate one or more predictors. Whilst we are happy to discuss *ad hoc* predictors for specific research purposes, for normal clinical studies we recommend two strength predictors:

- Minimum Stance Strength (MSS): this predictor evaluates the strength of the patient's bone under a wide range of loading conditions representing the spectrum of possible loads that act on that bone during daily life, under physiological conditions. The minimal strength is chosen as the predictor value. In retrospective studies, this predictor has been found to classify patients correctly into 'fractured' and 'non-fractured' groups with an accuracy of between 75% and 84% (Falcinelli et al., 2014; Qasim et al., 2016).
- Minimum Fall Strength (MSF): this predictor evaluates the strength of the patient's bone under side-fall loading conditions; a wide range of side-fall events is simulated varying the direction of the fall; the minimum strength is chosen as predictor. In retrospective studies, this predictor has been found to classify patients correctly into 'fractured' and 'non-fractured' groups with an accuracy of between 79% and 89% (Falcinelli et al., 2014; Qasim et al., 2016).

A report, in PDF format, will be sent by email to the person requesting the study; in addition, upon request, our operator can log the strength results directly into your clinical research system (such as OpenClinica).

The typical turnaround time from receipt of usable DICOM data to release of results is 48 hours; however, to provide a contingency to accommodate extraordinary events, we reserve the right to return our report within 60 days. Currently we have the capacity to process about 200 cases per year, but this can be increased upon need.

References

- Altai, Z., Viceconti, M., Offiah, C.A., Li, X., 2018. Investigating the mechanical response of paediatric bone under bending and torsion using finite element analysis. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, 17(4), 1001-1009.
- Altai, Z., Qasim, M., Li, X., Viceconti, M., 2019. The effect of boundary and loading conditions on patient classification using finite element predicted risk of fracture. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 68, 137-143.
- Cody, D.D., Gross, G.J., Hou, F.J., Spencer, H.J., Goldstein, S.A. and Fyhrie, D.P., 1999. Femoral strength is better predicted by finite element models than QCT and DXA. *Journal of biomechanics*, 32(10), 1013-1020.
- Cristofolini, L., Juszczuk, M., Martelli, S., Taddei, F., Viceconti, M., 2007. In vitro replication of spontaneous fractures of the proximal human femur. *J Biomech* 40(13), 2837-45.
- Dall'Ara, E., Eastell, R., Viceconti, M., Pahr, D., Yang, L., 2016. Experimental validation of DXA-based finite element models for prediction of femoral strength. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater* 63, 17-25.
- Dragomir-Daescu, D., Den Buijs, J.O., McEligot, S., Dai, Y., Entwistle, R.C., Salas, C., Melton, L.J., Bennet, K.E., Khosla, S. and Amin, S., 2011. Robust QCT/FEA models of proximal femur stiffness and fracture load during a sideways fall on the hip. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 39(2), 742-755.
- Falcinelli, C., Schileo, E., Balistreri, L., Baruffaldi, F., Bordini, B., Viceconti, M., Albisinni, U., Ceccarelli, F., Milandri, L., Toni, A., Taddei, F., 2014. Multiple loading conditions analysis can improve the association between finite element bone strength estimates and proximal femur fractures: A preliminary study in elderly women. *Bone Oct*(67), 71-80.
- Grassi, L., Schileo, E., Taddei, F., Zani, L., Juszczuk, M., Cristofolini, L., Viceconti, M., 2012. Accuracy of finite element predictions in sideways load configurations for the proximal human femur. *J Biomech* 45(2), 394-9.
- Juszczuk, M. M., Cristofolini, L., Viceconti, M., 2011. The human proximal femur behaves linearly elastic up to failure under physiological loading conditions. *J Biomech* 44(12), 2259-66.
- Li, X., Viceconti, M., Cohen, M. C., Reilly, G. C., Carre, M. J., Offiah, A. C., 2015. Developing CT based computational models of pediatric femurs. *J Biomech* 48(10), 2034-40.
- Qasim, M., Farinella, G., Zhang, J., Li, X., Yang, L., Eastell, R., Viceconti, M., 2016. Patient-specific finite element estimated femur strength as a predictor of the risk of hip fracture: the effect of methodological determinants. *Osteoporos Int* 27(9), 2815-22.
- Schileo, E., Balistreri, L., Grassi, L., Cristofolini, L., Taddei, F., 2014. To what extent can linear finite element models of human femora predict failure under stance and fall loading configurations? *J Biomech* 47(14), 3531-8.
- Schileo, E., Dall'ara, E., Taddei, F., Malandrino, A., Schotkamp, T., Baleani, M., Viceconti, M., 2008. An accurate estimation of bone density improves the accuracy of subject-specific finite element models. *J Biomech* 41(11), 2483-91.
- Schileo, E., Taddei, F., Cristofolini, L., Viceconti, M., 2008. Subject-specific finite element models implementing a maximum principal strain criterion are able to estimate failure risk and fracture location on human femurs tested in vitro. *J Biomech* 41(2), 356-67.
- Schileo, E., Taddei, F., Malandrino, A., Cristofolini, L., Viceconti, M., 2007. Subject-specific finite element models can accurately predict strain levels in long bones. *J Biomech* 40(13), 2982-9.
- Srinivasan, B., Kopperdahl, D.L., Amin, S., Atkinson, E.J., Camp, J., Robb, R.A., Riggs, B.L., Orwoll, E.S., Melton, L.J., Keaveny, T.M. and Khosla, S., 2012. Relationship of femoral neck areal bone mineral density to volumetric bone mineral density, bone size, and femoral strength in men and women. *Osteoporosis international*, 23(1), 155-162.

- Taddei, F., Cristofolini, L., Martelli, S., Gill, H. S., Viceconti, M., 2006. Subject-specific finite element models of long bones: An in vitro evaluation of the overall accuracy. *J Biomech* 39(13), 2457-67.
- Taddei, F., Falcinelli, C., Balistreri, L., Henys, P., Baruffaldi, F., Sigurdsson, S., Gudnason, V., Harris, T. B., Dietzel, R., Armbrrecht, G., Boutroy, S., Schileo, E., 2016. Left-right differences in the proximal femur's strength of post-menopausal women: a multicentric finite element study. *Osteoporos Int* 27(4), 1519-28.
- Taddei, F., Palmadori, I., Taylor, W. R., Heller, M. O., Bordini, B., Toni, A., Schileo, E., 2014. European Society of Biomechanics S.M. Perren Award 2014: Safety factor of the proximal femur during gait: a population-based finite element study. *J Biomech* 47(14), 3433-40.
- Testi, D., Cappello, A., Chiari, L., Viceconti, M., Gnudi, S., 2001. Comparison of logistic and Bayesian classifiers for evaluating the risk of femoral neck fracture in osteoporotic patients. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 39(6), 633-7.
- Testi, D., Cappello, A., Sgallari, F., Rumpf, M., Viceconti, M., 2004. A new software for prediction of femoral neck fractures. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 75(2), 141-5.
- Testi, D., Viceconti, M., Baruffaldi, F., Cappello, A., 1999. Risk of fracture in elderly patients: a new predictive index based on bone mineral density and finite element analysis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 60(1), 23-33.
- Testi, D., Viceconti, M., Cappello, A., Gnudi, S., 2002. Prediction of hip fracture can be significantly improved by a single biomedical indicator. *Ann Biomed Eng* 30(6), 801-7.
- Testi, D., Viceconti, M., Cappello, A., Gnudi, S., Toni, A., 2001. Fracture prediction for the femoral neck using finite element models. *Chir Organi Mov* 86(1), 59-64.
- Viceconti, M., Bellingeri, L., Cristofolini, L., Toni, A., 1998. A comparative study on different methods of automatic mesh generation of human femurs. *Med Eng Phys* 20(1), 1-10.
- Viceconti, M., Davinelli, M., Taddei, F., Cappello, A., 2004. Automatic generation of accurate subject-specific bone finite element models to be used in clinical studies. *J Biomech* 37(10), 1597-605.
- Viceconti, M., Taddei, F., Cristofolini, L., Martelli, S., Falcinelli, C., Schileo, E., 2012. Are spontaneous fractures possible? An example of clinical application for personalised, multiscale neuro-musculo-skeletal modelling. *J Biomech* 45(3), 421-426.
- Viceconti, M., Zannoni, C., Pierotti, L., 1998. TRI2SOLID: an application of reverse engineering methods to the creation of CAD models of bone segments. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 56(3), 211-20.
- Zani, L., Erani, P., Grassi, L., Taddei, F., Cristofolini, L., 2015. Strain distribution in the proximal Human femur during in vitro simulated sideways fall. *J Biomech* 48(10), 2130-43.
- Zannoni, C., Cappello, A., Viceconti, M., 1998. Optimal CT scanning plan for long-bone 3-D reconstruction. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 17(4), 663-6.
- Zannoni, C., Mantovani, R., Viceconti, M., 1998. Material properties assignment to finite element models of bone structures: a new method. *Med Eng Phys* 20(10), 735-40.